



August 10, 2009

Sent via email

Eric Johnson
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Region 8, 8ENF-T
999 18th Street, Suite 300
Denver, Colorado 80202-2466

RE: Progress report for July 2009 activities - Hecla Mining Company Apex Site (EPA ID No. UT982589848, Docket No. RCRA-8-99-06)

Dear Mr. Johnson:

Per paragraph 64 of the Order, enclosed is a copy of the July 2009 progress report for your records.

If you have any questions please do not hesitate to call me at (208) 769-4112 or e-mail at pqlader@hecla-mining.com.

Sincerely,

Paul L. Glader

Manager Environmental Services

Encl

Cc:

HMC Legal Dept (w/o attachments)
John Jacus, Esq. (DG&S)



August 10, 2009

Sent via U.S. Mail

Glenn Rogers, Chairman. **Shivwits Band of Paiute Indian Tribe** 6060 West 3650 North Ivins, Utah 84738

John Krause **Bureau of Indian Affairs** 400 North 5th Street, Floor 12 Phoenix, AZ 85004

Kelly Youngbear **BIA Southern Paiute Agency** P.O. Box 720 St. George, UT 84771

Progress report for July 2009 activities - Hecla Mining Company Apex Site (EPA ID No. UT982589848, Docket No. RCRA-8-99-06)

Dear Chairman Rogers, Mr. Krause and Ms. Youngbear:

Per paragraph 64 of the Order, enclosed is a copy of the July 2009 progress report for your records.

If you have any questions please do not hesitate to call me at (208) 769-4112 or email at pglader@hecla-mining.com.

Sincerely

Paul L. Glader

Manager Environmental Services

Encl

Cc:

HMC Legal Dept. (w/o attachments)
John Jacus, Esq. (DG&S) (w/o attachments)

Eric Johnson (USEPA, Region VIII) (w/o attachments)



MEMORANDUM TO:

Apex File

COPIES TO:

distribution

FROM:

Paul Glader

SUBJECT:

Progress Report No. 63 for period ending July 31, 2009; Pond 2 Final Closure - Apex Site, Washington County, Utah

Summary

The monthly visual inspection, per the long term monitoring plan, was conducted on July 13. No unusual conditions were noted.

Geotechnical Monitoring

Based on the data showing that the facility has experienced consistently low settlement rates over the past three years, Hecla will continue to monitor the facility, however with survey data being collected on an annual basis.

Work Planned for Next Period

Visual inspection of site

Cost and Schedule

Committed costs in July 2009 were \$182. Total project to date committed cost is approximately \$1,474,000.

Supplemental Attachments

July 2009 site inspection report

July 2009 cost report

Annual Site Inspection Summary Sheet - Apex Site - Pond 2

Hecla Mining Company - Long-Term Maintenance and Monitoring Plan

Form 1 of 4 - Summary

Date: 7-/3-07					
Inspector:	Dong -	Trans			
Cover System Component	Potential Problem		Allowable Limits	Limits Potentially Exceeded	
Site Perimeter	Erosion or Fencing Issues		NA .	NA	
	Subsidence ·		Minor: ponding < 1" some gullying / erosion	Yes 🔏 * No	
			Significant: see Table 2	Yes _* No _	
	Embankment Slope Stability		excessive movement or surface cracks > than 1"	Yes _* No <u>~</u>	
	Gullying	on top	depth > 1"	Voc. * No.	
Cover System (outslopes, top, rock)		at embankment crest or on outslope	depth > 2"	Yes No 🗸	
		w/in normal flow channel in diversion channel	no gullying allowed	Yes* No	
		w/in diversions at toe of impoundment outslope	no gullying allowed	Yes No	
·		in diversion channel at any other location	NA	NA	
·	Erosion Protection Stability		rock subsiding or missing	Yes No	
·	Seepage		no colored seepage allowed (red, blue, yellow w/ crystallization)	Yes No	
	Diversion (Channel	rock in place, channel not moving, fence stable	Yes 😿 No	
Runoff Control System	Diversion Swales		rock in place, no silting in or head cutting	Yes 🏏 No	
	1	silt build up at fence	allowed if not effecting cover system	Yes Ma 'No	

^{*} Mark all areas of concern or requiring repairs on attached site map.

Annual Site Inspection - Apex Site - Pond 2

Hecla Mining Company - Long-Term Maintenance and Monitoring Plan Form 2 of 4 - Site Perimeter

Inspection Date: 7-13-67	
Inspector:	·
Visible Outlying Areas	
Observed No Change in onthey Areas-	
Observed Damage: N ~ -	May require require Veg. 1. No.
	May require repair: Yes* No
Property Boundary Fence and Gate (walk fer	nce line)
Observed Force and Signs in good Repair	
Observed NSW-	
Damage:	
Potential Corrective NAC	
	May require repair: Yes* No
All Upgradient Areas (areas that drain onto p	roperty)
Observed up gardent was but the Same	
Observed Damage:	
	May require repair: Yes* No

^{*} Mark all areas of concern or requiring repairs on attached site map.

Annual Site Inspection - Apex Site - Pong 2

Hecla Mining Company - Long-Term Maintenance and Monitoring Plan

Form 3 of 4 - Impoundment

		e: 7-/3-59.	
		Outslopes	
Observed Performance:	Rock Cover Subsidence	Yes No	May require repair: Yes* No
	Excessive Slope Movem	nent (failure): Yes No/	May require repair: Yes* No
	Gully Development:	Yes No	May require repair: Yes* No
	Observable Leachate (c	olored): Yes No	May require repair: Yes* No
	Excessive Siltation (at s	lope toe): Yes No	May require repair: Yes No
Observed Damage:	705°		
Potential Corrective Actions:	Nove		
		Top (top surface soils	
Observed Performance:	Cracking (>1" width):	Yes No	May require repair: Yes No
	Settlement / Evidence of	f Ponding: Yes No	May require repair: Yes No
	Erosion / Gullying:	Yes No	May require repair: Yes* No
Observed Damage:	war		
Potential Corrective Actions:	None H	and this Survey of the shaped	I don't think it was
		Erosion Protection Layer	(rock)
Observed Performance:	Rock Staying in Place:	Yes No	May require repair: Yes No
	Rock Subsiding:	Yes No	May require repair: Yes No
	Missing Rock:	Yes No _/	May require repair: Yes * No
Observed Damage:	とさら		
Potential Corrective Actions:	nne		

mark all areas of concern of requiring repairs on attached site map.

Annual Site Inspection - Apex Site - Pond 2

Hecla Mining Company - Long-Term Maintenance and Monitoring Plan Form 4 of 4 - Diversion Channel and Swales

Imam	7-13-09		
Inspector:	D. / Ru-		
		Diversion Chann	ne(
Observed Performance:	Erosion Protection in place:	Yes 🖊 No _	May require repair: Yes* No
	Normal Flow Channel in place:	Yes / No _	May require repair: Yes No
	Encroaching on Site Fencing:	Yes No	May require repair: Yes* No
Observed Damage:	wme		
Potential Corrective Actions:	NONE		
		Diversion Swale	is the second
Observed Performance:	Erosion Protection in place:	Piversion Swale	May require repair: Yes* No
			alielien landida antika da mara maranta antika da maranta antika da maranta antika da maranta antika da marant
Observed Performance:	Erosion Protection in place:	Yes No _	May require repair: Yes* No
Performance:	Erosion Protection in place: Flow Channel Silting In:	Yes No	May require repair: Yes* No
Performance:	Erosion Protection in place: Flow Channel Silting In: Head Cutting:	Yes No	May require repair: Yes* No

^{*} Mark all areas of concern or requiring repairs on attached site map.

Activity	2004 Budget	Revised Budget May 2004	Committed Cost this Period	Cumulative Committed Cost To Date 7-31-09	Forecasted Cost To Complete	Forecasted Final Cost	Remarks on Forecast to Complete
Phases I through III (Completed February 2006)			,				
Phase I - Drain Excess Liquid From Tailings	189,200	72,700		67,928	0	67,928	
Phases II, IIA + IIB - Evaporate Excess Liquid	6,000	8,000		242,882	0	242,882	
Phase III - Regrading & Final Cover System	337,000	342,050		504,742	0	504,742	
Field Indirect Costs	164,500	213,568		378,517	0	378,517	Includes Jan + Feb 2006 long term monitoring costs
Heda Costs	18,700	18,700	0	33,324	0	33,324	
Subsetal Phases I through III	715,400	655,018	0	1,227,393	0	1,227,393	
Long Term Monitoring (brough FY 2010)							
Site inspections		****	182	189,463	2,610	192,073	
Settlement Monitoring			0	8,100	2,325	10,425	
Consultant Support: Annual Geotechnical Engineer Inspections				2,495	18,100	20 595	Includes settlement monitoring data analysis
Vegetation Monitoring			0	0	20,000		Allowance for surveys in FY 2008 - 2010
Site Conditions Review - MEI			0	7,414	2.387	9.801	
Site Conditions Review - SVL Analytical			0	2,079		2,079	The state of the s
Erosion Repair Review - MEI				2,927	573		A AMERICAN CONTRACTOR
Revegetation Review - Bamberg					3,500	3,500	
Maintenance:							
Erosion Repair Allowance				21,941	7,500	29,441	Erosion repair conducted April 2008
Revegetation Allowande				9,912	10,000		Revegetation conducted April 2008
Hecia Project Management Costs:							
Labor			. 0	2,266	7,909		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Travel expenses			0	0	1,312	1,312	
Subtotal Long Term Monitoring	D	0	182	246,597	76,216	322,813	
Total Pond 2 Final Closure	715,400	655,018	182	1,473,990	76,216	1,550,206	

1.18 1.

Fw: Apex - July Monthly Report Ericr Johnson to: Amy Swanson

08/13/2009 08:53 AM

---- Forwarded by Ericr Johnson/R8/USEPA/US on 08/13/2009 08:52 AM -----



Paul Glader <pglader@hecla-mining.com

To Ericr Johnson/R8/USEPA/US@EPA

CC

08/11/2009 04:24 PM

Subject Apex - July Monthly Report



Apex Pond 2 - progress rpt 63 complete, july 2009 .pdf